

Union of Mathematicians of Macedonia - ARMAGANKA

**IX SEMINAR OF DIFFERENTIAL  
EQUATIONS AND ANALYSIS**

and

**1st CONGRESS OF DIFFERENTIAL  
EQUATIONS, MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS  
AND APPLICATIONS**

**CODEMA 2020**

**Proceedings of the CODEMA 2020**  
**Зборник на трудови од CODEMA 2020**

**Skopje, 2021**



# COMMON FIXED POINTS FOR TWO $T_f$ KANNAN TYPE

## CONTRACTIONS IN A COMPLETE METRIC SPACE

ISBN 978-608-4904-09-0

UDC: 515.122.2:515.126.4

Samoil Malcheski<sup>1</sup>, Risto Malcheski<sup>2</sup>, Katerina Anevska<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract.** The focus in this paper are statements about common fixed points for two  $T_f$  Kannan type contractions in a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ . In doing so we defined  $T$  as continuous, injection and subsequentially convergent mapping, and  $f$  as a function of the class  $\Theta$  continuous monotony non-decreasing functions  $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that  $f^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ , and furthermore  $f$  is sub-additive i.e.  $f(x+y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in [0, +\infty)$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Banach fixed-point theorem, as well as its generalizations presented by R. Kannan ([4]), S. K. Chatterjea ([7]) and P. V. Koparde, B. B. Waghmode ([3]), are well known. S. Moradi and D. Alimohammadi [9] generalized R. Kannan results using the sequentially convergent mappings. Using the sequentially convergent mappings, some generalizations of R. Kannan, S. K. Chatterjea and P. V. Koparde, B. B. Waghmode are proved [1], and also proved results about sharing fixed point for two R. Kannan, S. K. Chatterjea и P. V. Koparde, B. B. Waghmode types of mapping [5], 2006.

S. Moradi and A. Beiranvand introduced the concept of  $T_f$  contractive mapping, [8], 2010, applying the  $\Theta$  class of continuous monotony non-decreasing functions  $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  such that  $f^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ . For  $f \in \Theta$ ,  $f^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$  implies that  $f(t) > 0$ , for all  $t > 0$ . S. Moradi and A. Beiranvand proved that if  $S$  is  $T_f$  contractive mapping, then  $S$  has a unique fixed point. M. Kir and H. Kiziltunc, 2014 generalized the S. Moradi and A. Beiranvand result about R. Kannan and S. K. Chatterjea types of mapping.

In our further observations we will present several results about sharing fixed points of two  $T_f$  Kannan type contractions in a complete metric space, such that the function  $f$ ,  $f$  is a function of  $\Theta$  class, and additionally we will suppose that it is subadditive, i.e.  $f(a+b) \leq f(a) + f(b)$ , for all  $a, b \in [0, +\infty)$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 47H10 Secondary: 54H25.

*Key words and phrases*. subsequentially convergent mapping, fixed point

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Definition 1 ([8]).** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A mapping  $T: X \rightarrow X$  is said sequentially convergent if we have, for every sequence  $\{y_n\}$ , if  $\{Ty_n\}$  is convergence then  $\{y_n\}$  also is convergence. A mapping  $T$  is said subsequentially convergent if we have, for every sequence  $\{y_n\}$ , if  $\{Ty_n\}$  is convergence then  $\{y_n\}$  has a convergent subsequence.

**Definition 2 ([8]).** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $S, T: X \rightarrow X$  and  $f \in \Theta$ . A mapping  $S$  is said  $T_f$ -contraction if there exist  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$f(d(TSx, TSy)) \leq \alpha f(d(Tx, Ty)),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space  $S_1, S_2: X \rightarrow X$ ,  $f \in \Theta$  is such that  $f(a+b) \leq f(a) + f(b)$ , for all  $a, b \in [0, +\infty)$  and the mapping  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be continuous, injection and subsequentially convergent. If there exist  $\alpha > 0, \beta \geq 0$  such that  $2\alpha + \beta \in (0, 1)$  and

$$f(d(TS_1x, TS_2y)) \leq \alpha(f(d(Tx, TS_1x)) + f(d(Ty, TS_2y))) + \beta f(d(Tx, Ty)) \quad (1)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  have a unique sharing fixed point.

**Proof.** Let  $x_0$  be any point of  $X$  and let the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  be defined as

$$x_{2n+1} = S_1x_{2n}, \quad x_{2n+2} = S_2x_{2n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

If there exists  $n \geq 0$ , such that  $x_n = x_{n+1} = x_{n+2}$ , then it is easy to be proven that  $u = x_n$  is a sharing fixed point for  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . Therefore, let us assume that there no exist three consecutive equal terms of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ . Then by applying the inequality (1), it is easy to prove the following inequalities:

$$f(d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n})) \leq \alpha(f(d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n})) + f(d(Tx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n}))) + \beta f(d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}))$$

and

$$f(d(Tx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n})) \leq \alpha(f(d(Tx_{2n-2}, Tx_{2n-1})) + f(d(Tx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n}))) + \beta f(d(Tx_{2n-2}, Tx_{2n-1}))$$

The above stated implies that for each  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\lambda = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \alpha} \in (0, 1)$  holds:

$$f(d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n)) \leq \lambda f(d(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1})). \quad (2)$$

Moreover, the inequality (2) implies

$$f(d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n)) \leq \lambda^n f(d(Tx_1, Tx_0)), \quad (3)$$

for each  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . So, the properties of metrics, the properties of the function  $f$  and the inequality (3) imply

$$f(d(Tx_n, Tx_m)) \leq \frac{\lambda^m}{1-\lambda} f(d(Tx_1, Tx_0)),$$

for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > m$ . According to this, the sequence  $\{Tx_n\}$  is Cauchy sequence, and since  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space, it is convergent sequence. The mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is subsequentially convergent, therefore the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  consists a convergent sub-sequence  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ , i.e. it exists  $u \in X$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n(k)} = u$ . The continuity of  $T$  implies that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{n(k)} = Tu$ .

But,  $\{Tx_{n(k)}\}$  is a subsequence of the convergent sequence  $\{Tx_n\}$ . So,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{n(k)} = Tu.$$

Next, we will prove that  $u \in X$  is a fixed point for the mapping  $S_1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f(d(Tu, TS_1u)) &\leq f(d(Tu, Tx_{2n+2})) + f(d(Tx_{2n+2}, TS_1u)) \\ &= f(d(Tu, Tx_{2n+2})) + f(d(TS_2x_{2n+1}, TS_1u)) \\ &\leq f(d(Tu, Tx_{2n+2})) + \alpha(f(Tu, TS_1u) + f(d(Tx_{2n+1}, TS_2x_{2n+1}))) + \\ &\quad + \beta f(d(Tu, Tx_{2n+1})) \\ &= f(d(Tu, Tx_{2n+2})) + \alpha(f(Tu, TS_1u) + f(d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}))) + \\ &\quad + \beta f(d(Tu, Tx_{2n+1})) \end{aligned}$$

The mappings  $f$  and  $T$  are continuous, and applying the properties of metric for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the last inequality, we get that

$$f(d(Tu, TS_1u)) \leq \alpha f(Tu, TS_1u) + (1 + \alpha + \beta) f(0)$$

But,  $1 - \alpha > 0$  and  $f^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ , therefore the last inequality implies  $d(Tu, TS_1u) = 0$ , that is  $TS_1u = Tu$ . Finally, since  $T$  is injection it holds that  $S_1u = u$ , that  $u$  is a fixed point for the mapping  $S_1$ . Analogously,  $u$  is fixed point for  $S_2$ , i.e.  $u$  is a sharing fixed point for the both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  mapping.

Further, we will prove that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  have the unique sharing fixed point. Let  $v \in X$  be fixed point for  $S_2$ , i.e.  $S_2v = v$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(d(Tu, Tv)) &= f(d(TS_1u, TS_2v)) \\ &\leq \alpha(f(d(Tu, TS_1u)) + f(d(Tv, TS_2v))) + \beta f(d(Tu, Tv)) \\ &= \alpha(f(d(Tu, Tu)) + f(d(Tv, Tv))) + \beta f(d(Tu, Tv)) \\ &= 2\alpha f(0) + \beta f(d(Tu, Tv)). \end{aligned}$$

And since  $1 - \beta > 0$  and  $f^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ , applying the last inequality we get that  $d(Tu, Tv) = 0$ , i.e. it holds that  $Tu = Tv$ .

But since  $T$  is injection, we get that  $u = v$ , that is  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  have a unique sharing fixed point. ■

**Corollary 1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space  $S_1, S_2 : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O}$  is such that  $f(a+b) \leq f(a) + f(b)$ , for all  $a, b \in [0, +\infty)$  and the mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be continuous, injection and subsequentially convergent. If it exists  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$f(d(TS_1x, TS_2y)) \leq \lambda \sqrt[3]{f(d(Tx, TS_1x)) \cdot f(d(Ty, TS_2y)) \cdot f(d(Tx, Ty))}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  have an unique sharing fixed point.

**Proof.** The arithmetic-geometric mean inequality implies that

$$f(d(TS_1x, TS_2y)) \leq \frac{\lambda}{3} (f(d(Tx, TS_1x)) + f(d(Ty, TS_2y)) + f(d(Tx, Ty))),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Applying the Theorem 1 for  $\alpha = \beta = \frac{\lambda}{3}$  we get the above corollary. ■

**Corollary 2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space  $S_1, S_2 : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O}$  be such that  $f(a+b) \leq f(a) + f(b)$ , for all  $a, b \in [0, +\infty)$  and the mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be continuous, injection and subsequentially convergent. If there exist  $\alpha > 0, \beta \geq 0$  such that  $2\alpha + \beta \in (0, 1)$  and

$$f(d(TS_1x, TS_2y)) \leq \alpha \frac{f^2(d(Tx, TS_1x)) + f^2(d(Ty, TS_2y))}{f(d(Tx, TS_1x)) + f(d(Ty, TS_2y))} + \beta f(d(Tx, Ty)),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  have a unique sharing fixed point.

**Proof.** The inequality (1) is a direct implication of the given inequality. ■

**Corollary 3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space  $S_1, S_2 : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O}$  be such that  $f(a+b) \leq f(a) + f(b)$ , for all  $a, b \in [0, +\infty)$  and the mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be continuous, injection and subsequentially convergent. If it exists  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that

$$f(d(TS_1x, TS_2y)) \leq \alpha (f(d(Tx, TS_1x)) + f(d(Ty, TS_2y))),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  have a unique sharing fixed point.

**Proof.** The proof is directly implied by Theorem 1, for  $\beta = 0$ . ■

**Corollary 4.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space  $S_1, S_2 : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O}$  be such that  $f(a+b) \leq f(a) + f(b)$ , for all  $a, b \in [0, +\infty)$ . If there exist  $\alpha > 0, \beta \geq 0$  so that  $2\alpha + \beta \in (0, 1)$  and

$$f(d(S_1x, S_2y)) \leq \alpha (f(d(x, S_1x)) + f(d(y, S_2y))) + \beta f(d(x, y))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  have a unique sharing fixed point.

**Proof.** The mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  determined as  $Tx = x$  е непрекинато, инјекција и subsequentially convergent.

Therefore, the proof is directly implied by Theorem 1, for  $Tx = x$ . ■

**Corollary 5.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space  $S_1, S_2 : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O}$  be such that  $f(a+b) \leq f(a) + f(b)$ , for all  $a, b \in [0, +\infty)$ . If it exists  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  so that

$f(d(S_1x, S_2y)) \leq \alpha(f(d(x, S_1x)) + f(d(y, S_2y)))$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  it holds, then  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  have a unique sharing fixed point.

**Proof.** Direct implication from the Corollary 3 for  $Tx = x$  or the Corollary 4 for  $\beta = 0$ . ■

**Corollary 6.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space  $S_1, S_2 : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O}$  be such that  $f(a+b) \leq f(a) + f(b)$ , for all  $a, b \in [0, +\infty)$  and the mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be continuous, injection and subsequentially convergent. If there exist  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha > 0, \beta \geq 0$  such that  $2\alpha + \beta \in (0, 1)$  and

$$f(d(TS_1^p x, TS_2^q y)) \leq \alpha(f(d(Tx, TS_1^p x)) + f(d(Ty, TS_2^q y))) + \beta f(d(Tx, Ty))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  have a unique sharing fixed point.

**Proof.** The Theorem1 implies that the mappings  $S_1^p$  and  $S_2^q$  have a unique common fixed point  $u \in X$ . So,  $S_1^p u = u$  and therefore

$$S_1 u = S_1(S_1^p u) = S_1^p(S_1 u),$$

that is  $S_1 u$  is a fixed point for  $S_1^p$ . Analogously,  $S_2^q u = u$  implies that

$$S_2 u = S_2(S_2^q u) = S_2^q(S_2 u),$$

that is  $S_2 u$  a fixed point for  $S_2^q$ . But, the proof of the Theorem1 implies that  $S_1^p$  and  $S_2^q$  has unique fixed points. Therefore  $S_1 u = u$  and  $S_2 u = u$ . According to this,  $u \in X$  is a common fixed point for  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ .

For  $v \in X$  is an arbitrary fixed point for  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , we get that it is also a common fixed point for  $S_1^p$  and  $S_2^q$ . But the mappings  $S_1^p$  and  $S_2^q$  have a unique common fixed point, and therefore  $v = u$ . ■

**Remark.** The function  $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  defined as  $f(t) = t$ , for each  $t \in [0, 1)$ , it is a function of  $\mathcal{O}$  class and it is a subadditive. Moreover, each sequentially convergent mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is sub-sequentially convergent mapping. Therefore the Theorem1 and the Corollaries 1-6 [5], are directly implied by the above proved the Theorem 1 and the Corollaries 1-6, respectively.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

## AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed equally and significantly to writing this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## References

- [1] A. Malčeski, S. Malčeski, K. Anevska, R. Malčeski, *New extension of Kannan and Chatterjea fixed point theorems on complete metric spaces*. British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science. Vol. 17 No. 1 (2016),1-10.
- [2] M. Kir, H. Kiziltunc,  *$T_F$  type contractive conditions for Kannan and Chatterjea fixed point theorems*, Adv. Fixed Point Theory, Vol. 4, No. 1 (2014), pp. 140-148
- [3] P. V. Koparde, B. B. Waghmode, *Kannan type mappings in Hilbert space*. Scientist Physl. Sciences.1991;3(1):45-50.
- [4] R. Kannan, *Some results on fixed points*, Bull. Calc. Math. Soc. Vol. 60 No. 1, (1968), 71-77
- [5] R. Malčeski, A. Malčeski, K. Anevska and S. Malčeski, *Common Fixed Points of Kannan and Chatterjea Types of Mappings in a Complete Metric Space*, British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science, Vol. 18 No. 2 (2016), 1-11
- [6] S. Banach, *Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations intégrales*, Fund. Math. 2 (1922), 133-181
- [7] S. K. Chatterjea, *Fixed point theorems*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci., Vol. 25 No. 6 (1972), 727-730
- [8] S. Moradi, A. Beiranvand, *Fixed Point of  $T_F$ -contractive Single-valued Mappings*, Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics, Vol. 5, No. 2 (2010), pp 25-32
- [9] S. Moradi, D. Alimohammadi, *New extensions of kannan fixed theorem on complete metric and generalized metric spaces*. Int. Journal of Math. Analysis. 2011;5(47):2313-2320.

<sup>1)</sup>International Slavic University G. R. Derzhavin, Sv. Nikole, Macedonia  
*E-mail address:* samoil.malcheski@gmail.com

<sup>2)</sup>International Slavic University G. R. Derzhavin, Sv. Nikole, Macedonia  
*E-mail address:* risto.malceski@gmail.com

<sup>3)</sup>FON University, Skopje, Macedonia  
*E-mail address:* anevskak@gmail.com

Publisher  
Union od Mathematicians of Macedonia – ARMAGANKA

Editor in chief  
Prof. d-r Aleksa Malcheski

CIP - Каталогизација во публикација  
Национална и универзитетска библиотека "Св. Климент Охридски",  
Скопје

51(082)

PROCEEDINGS of CODEMA 2020 = Зборник на трудови од CODEMA  
2020. -  
Skopje : Armaganka, 2021. - 375 стр. : илустр. ; 25 см

Текст на мак. и англ. јазик. - Фусноти кон текстот. - Библиографија кон  
трудовите

ISBN 978-608-4904-09-0

а) Математика -- Зборници

COBISS.MK-ID 53570309

$$1) \int \frac{\sqrt{x} dx}{(a \pm bx)^{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{x\sqrt{x} dx}{a - bx} = \frac{6a\sqrt{x} - 2bx}{3b^2}$$

$$\frac{a - x + x\sqrt{x}}{(a - bx)^{m-1}} + \frac{3}{2(m-1)}$$

$$\frac{2a\sqrt{x} + \frac{a\sqrt{a}}{b^2\sqrt{b}} \ln \left| \frac{\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b}} \right|}{2(m-1)}$$